arXiv:1007.3919v5 [math.AP] 5 Dec 2011

Remarks on a fractional diffusion transport equation with applications to the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation

Diego Chamorro

December 6, 2011

Abstract

In this article we study Hölder regularity $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$ for solutions of a transport equation based in the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation. Following an idea of A. Kiselev and F. Nazarov presented in [10], we will use the molecular characterization of local Hardy spaces h^σ in order to obtain information on Hölder regularity of such solutions. This will be done by following the evolution of molecules in a backward equation. We will also study global existence, Besov regularity for weak solutions and a maximum principle (or positivity principle) and we will apply these results to the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation.

Keywords: quasi-geostrophic equation, Hölder regularity, Hardy spaces.

1 Introduction

The dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation has been studied by many authors, not only because of its own mathematical importance, but also as a 2D model in geophysical fluid dynamics and because of its close relationship with other equations arising in fluid dynamics. See [5], [14] and the references given there for more details. This equation has the following form:

$$(QG)_\alpha \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \partial_t \theta(x,t) = &\quad \nabla \cdot (u\,\theta)(x,t) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,t) \\ \\ \theta(x,0) = &\quad \theta_0(x) \end{aligned} \right.$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $t \in [0,T]$. Here θ is a real-valued function and the velocity u is defined by means of Riesz transforms in the following way:

$$u = (-R_2\theta, R_1\theta).$$

Recall that Riesz Transforms R_j are given by $\widehat{R_j\theta}(\xi) = -\frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|}\widehat{\theta}(\xi)$ for $j = 1,2$ and that $\Lambda^{2\alpha} = (-\Delta)^\alpha$ is the Laplacian's fractional power defined by the formula

$$\widehat{\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta}(\xi) = |\xi|^{2\alpha}\widehat{\theta}(\xi)$$

where $\widehat{\theta}$ denotes the Fourier transform of θ.

It is classical to consider three cases in the analysis of dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation following the values of diffusion parameter α. Case $1/2 < \alpha$ is called *sub-critical* since diffusion factor is stronger than nonlinearity. In this case, weak solutions were constructed by S. Resnick in [16] and P. Constantin & J. Wu showed in [4] that smooth initial data gives a smooth global solution.

The *critical* case is given when $\alpha = 1/2$. Here, P. Constantin, D. Córdoba & J. Wu studied in [6] global existence in Sobolev spaces, while global well-posedness in Besov spaces has been treated by H. Abidi & T. Hmidi in [1]. Also in this case and more recently A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov & A. Volberg showed in [11] that any regular periodic data generates a unique $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ solution.

Finally, the case when $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ is called *super-critical* partially because it is harder to work with than the two other cases, but mostly because the diffusion term is weaker than the nonlinear term. In this last case, weak solutions for initial data in L^p or in $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$ were studied by F. Marchand in [15].

In this article, following L. Caffarelli & A. Vasseur in [2], we will study a special version of the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation $(QG)_\alpha$. The idea is to replace the Riesz Transform-based velocity u by a new velocity v to obtain the following n-dimensional fractional diffusion transport equation for $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$:

$$(T)_\alpha \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \theta(x,t) = & \nabla \cdot (v\,\theta)(x,t) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,t) \\ \theta(x,0) = & \theta_0(x) \\ div(v) = & 0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In (1) functions θ and v are such that $\theta : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Remark that the velocity v is now a given data for the problem and we will always assume that v is divergence free and belongs to $L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

We fix once and for all the parameter $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$ in order to study the **critical** and the **super-critical** case.

The main theorem presented in this article studies the regularity of the solutions of the fractional diffusion transport equation $(T)_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$:

Theorem 1 (Hölder regularity) *Let θ_0 be a function such that $\theta_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\theta(x,t)$ is a solution for the equation $(T)_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$, then for all time $0 < t < T$, we have that $\theta(\cdot,t)$ belongs to the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $0 < \gamma < 2\alpha$.*

Since the velocity v in equation $(T)_\alpha$ belongs to $L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n))$, it would be quite simple to adapt this result to the quasi-geostrophic equation $(QG)_\alpha$. See section 7 for details.

The conclusion of this theorem is surprising when applied to the quasi-geostrophic equation: it asserts, that even when the diffusion term is weaker than the transport term we still obtain a small smoothing effect. Furthermore, by a result of Constantin and Wu [5] this theorem implies that in the range $1/4 < \alpha < 1/2$ solutions of $(QG)_\alpha$ are smooth.

Let us say a few words about the proof of this theorem. A classical result of harmonic analysis states that Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be paired with local Hardy spaces $h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, if we prove that the duality bracket

$$\langle \theta(\cdot,t), \psi_0 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,t)\psi_0(x)dx \tag{2}$$

is bounded for every $\psi_0 \in h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $t \in]0,T[$, we obtain that $\theta(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. One of the main features of Hardy spaces is that they admit a characterization by *molecules* (see definition 1.1 below), which are rather simple functions, and this allows us to study the quantity (2) only for such molecules.

This dual approach was originally given in the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ by A. Kiselev & F. Nazarov in [10], but only for the critical case $\alpha = 1/2$ and with a very special family of test functions. Thus, the main novelty of this paper besides the generalization to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the use of Hardy spaces is the treatment of the super-critical case $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ for the equation $(T)_\alpha$ with initial data in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us stress that the study of super-critical case is made possible by carefully choosing the molecules used in the decomposition of Hardy spaces. Broadly speaking and following [17] p. 130, a *molecule* is a function ψ so that

(i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x)dx = 0$

(ii) $|\psi(x)| \leq r^{-n/\sigma} \min\{1; r^{\beta n}/|x-x_0|^{\beta n}\}$,

with $\beta\sigma > 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the parameter $r \in]0,+\infty[$ stands for the *size* of the molecule ψ.

Since we are going to work with local Hardy spaces, we will introduce a size treshold in order to distinguish *small* molecules from *big* ones in the following way:

Definition 1.1 (r-molecules) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$, set $\frac{n}{n+2\alpha} < \sigma < 1$, define $\gamma = n(\frac{1}{\sigma} - 1)$ and fix a real number ω such that $\gamma < \omega < 2\alpha$. An integrable function ψ is an r-molecule if we have*

- *Small molecules* $(0<r<1)$*:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(x)||x-x_0|^\omega dx \leq r^{\omega-\gamma}, \textit{ for } x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n \qquad \textit{(concentration condition)} \qquad (3)$$

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{r^{n+\gamma}} \qquad \textit{(height condition)} \qquad (4)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x)dx = 0 \qquad \textit{(moment condition)} \qquad (5)$$

- *Big molecules* $(1\leq r<+\infty)$*:*

  In this case we only require conditions (3) and (4) for the r*-molecule* ψ *while the moment condition (5) is dropped.*

It is interesting to compare this definition of molecules to the one used in [10]. In our molecules the parameter γ reflects explicitly the relationship between Hardy and Hölder spaces (see theorem 4 below). However, the most important fact relies in the parameter ω which gives us the additional flexibility that will be crucial in the following calculations.

Remark 1.1

1) Note that the point $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ can be considered as the "center" of the molecule.
2) Conditions (3) and (4) are an easy consequence of condition (ii) and they both imply the estimate

$$\|\psi\|_{L^1} \leq C\, r^{-\gamma}$$

thus every r-molecule belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1<p<+\infty$.

The main interest of using molecules relies in the possibility of *transfering* the regularity problem to the evolution of such molecules:

Proposition 1.1 (Transfer property) *Let* $\alpha\in]0,1/2]$. *Let* $\psi(x,s)$ *be a solution of the backward problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s\psi(x,s) = & -\nabla\cdot[v(x,t-s)\psi(x,s)] - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi(x,s) \\ \psi(x,0) = & \psi_0(x)\in L^1\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ div(v) = & 0 \quad and \quad v\in L^\infty([0,T];bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)) \end{cases} \qquad (6)$$

If $\theta(x,t)$ *is a solution of (1) with* $\theta_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *then we have the identity*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,t)\psi(x,0)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,0)\psi(x,t)dx. \qquad (7)$$

Proof. We first consider the expression

$$\partial_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,t-s)\psi(x,s)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\partial_s\theta(x,t-s)\psi(x,s) + \partial_s\psi(x,s)\theta(x,t-s)dx.$$

Using equations (1) and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,t-s)\psi(x,s)dx \quad &= \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\nabla\cdot[(v(x,t-s)\theta(x,t-s)]\,\psi(x,s) + \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,t-s)\psi(x,s) \\ &- \quad \nabla\cdot[(v(x,t-s)\psi(x,s))]\,\theta(x,t-s) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi(x,s)\theta(x,t-s)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact that v is divergence free we have that expression above is equal to zero, so the quantity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta(x,t-s)\psi(x,s)dx$$

remains constant in time. We only have to set $s=0$ and $s=t$ to conclude.

■

This proposition says, that in order to control $\langle\theta(\cdot,t),\psi_0\rangle$, it is enough (and much simpler) to study the bracket $\langle\theta_0,\psi(\cdot,t)\rangle$. Let us explain in which sense this transfer property is useful: in the bracket $\langle\theta_0,\psi(\cdot,t)\rangle$ we have much more informations than in the bracket (2) since the initial data ψ_0 is a molecule which satisfies conditions (3)-(5).

Proof of the theorem 1. Once we have the transfer property proven above, the proof of theorem 1 is quite direct and it reduces to a L^1 estimate for molecules. Indeed, assume that for *all* molecular initial data ψ_0 we have a L^1 control for $\psi(\cdot,t)$ a solution of (6), then theorem 1 follows easily: applying proposition 1.1 with the fact that $\theta_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$|\langle\theta(\cdot,t),\psi_0\rangle| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\theta(x,t)\psi_0(x)dx\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\theta(x,0)\psi(x,t)dx\right| \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1} < +\infty. \tag{8}$$

From this, we obtain that $\theta(\cdot,t)$ belongs to the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now we need to study the control of the L^1 norm of $\psi(\cdot,t)$ and we divide our proof in two steps following the molecule's size. For the initial big molecules, *i.e.* if $r\geq 1$, the needed control is straightforward: apply the maximum principle (10) below and the remark 1.1-2) to obtain

$$\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\psi_0\|_{L^1} \leq C\frac{1}{r^\gamma}\|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty},$$

but, since $r\geq 1$, we have that $|\langle\theta(\cdot,t),\psi_0\rangle| < +\infty$ for all *big* molecules.

In order to finish the proof of the theorem, it only remains to treat the L^1 control for *small* molecules. This is the most complex part of the proof and we will present it in section 3 where we will prove the next theorem:

Theorem 2 *For all small initial molecular data ψ_0, there existe an small constant $\delta>0$ such that*

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1} \leq C\delta^{\frac{-\omega}{2\alpha}} \qquad \textit{for all } 0<t<T \qquad (0<\gamma<\omega<2\alpha).$$

We obtain in this way a good control over the quantity $\|\psi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1}$ for all $0<r<1$.

Finally, getting back to (8) we obtain that $|\langle\theta(\cdot,t),\psi_0\rangle|$ is always bounded for $0<t<T$ and for any molecule ψ_0: we have proven by a duality argument the theorem 1.

■

Let us recall now that for (smooth) solutions of $(QG)_\alpha$ and $(T)_\alpha$ above we can use the remarkable property of *maximum principle* mentioned before. This was proven in [7] and in [15] and it gives us the following inequalities.

$$\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} + p\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta(x,s)|^{p-2}\theta(x,s)\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,s)dxds \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} \qquad (2\leq p<+\infty) \tag{9}$$

$$\text{or more generally} \qquad \|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} \qquad (1\leq p\leq +\infty) \tag{10}$$

These estimates are extremely useful and they are the starting point of several works. Indeed, the study of inequality (9) helps us incidentally to solve a question pointed out by F. Marchand in [15] concerning weak solution's global regularity:

Theorem 3 (Weak solution's regularity) *Let $2\leq p<+\infty$ and $\alpha\in]0,1/2]$. If $\theta_0\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an initial data for $(QG)_\alpha$ or $(T)_\alpha$ equations, then the associated weak solution $\theta(x,t)$ belongs to $L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))\cap L^p([0,T];\dot{B}_p^{2\alpha/p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.*

Theorem 1, theorem 3 and the L^1 control for small molecules are the core of the paper, however, for the sake of completness, we will prove some other interesting results concerning the equation (1).

The plan of the article is the following: in the section 2 we recall some facts concerning the molecular characterization of local Hardy spaces and some other facts about Hölder and *bmo* spaces. In section 3 we study the L^1-norm control for molecules and in section 4 we study existence and unicity of solutions with initial data in L^p with $2\leq p<+\infty$ and we prove theorem 3. Section 5 is devoted to a *positivity principle* that will be useful in our proofs and section 6 studies existence of solution with $\theta_0\in L^\infty$. Finally, section 7 applies these results to the 2D-quasi-geostrophic equation $(QG)_\alpha$.

2 Molecular Hardy spaces, Hölder spaces and *bmo*

Hardy spaces have several equivalent characterizations (see [3], [8] and [17] for a detailed treatment). In this paper we are interested mainly in the molecular approach that defines *local* Hardy spaces h^σ with $0<\sigma<1$:

Definition 2.1 (Local Hardy spaces h^σ) *Let $0<\sigma<1$. The local Hardy space $h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of distributions f that admits the following molecular decomposition:*

$$f=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j\psi_j \tag{11}$$

where $(\lambda_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_j|^\sigma<+\infty$ and $(\psi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of r-molecules in the sense of definition 1.1 above. The h^σ-norm[1] *is then fixed by the formula*

$$\|f\|_{h^\sigma}=\inf\left\{\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_j|^\sigma\right)^{1/\sigma}\;:\;f=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j\psi_j\right\}$$

where the infimum runs over all possible decompositions (11).

Local Hardy spaces have many remarquable properties and we will only stress here, before passing to duality results concerning h^σ spaces, the fact that Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For further details see [9], [17], [8] and [5].

Now, let us take a closer look at the dual space of local Hardy spaces. In [8] D. Goldberg proved the next important theorem:

Theorem 4 (Hardy-Hölder duality) *Let $\frac{n}{n+2\alpha}<\sigma<1$ and fix $\gamma=n(\frac{1}{\sigma}-1)$. Then the dual of local Hardy space $h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Hölder space $\mathcal{C}^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ fixed by the norm*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^\gamma}=\|f\|_{L^\infty}+\sup_{x\neq y}\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^\gamma}.$$

This result allows us to study the Hölder regularity of functions in terms of Hardy spaces and it will be applied to solutions of the n-dimensional fractional diffusion transport equation (1).

Remark 2.1 Since $0<\sigma<1$, we have $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_j|\leq\left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}|\lambda_j|^\sigma\right)^{1/\sigma}$ thus for testing Hölder continuity of a function f it is enough to study the quantities $|\langle f,\psi_j\rangle|$ where ψ_j is an r-molecule.

We finish this section by recalling some useful facts about the *bmo* space used to characterize velocity v. This space is defined as locally integrable functions f such that

$$\sup_{|B|\leq 1}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|f(x)-f_B|dx<M\qquad\text{and}\qquad\sup_{|B|>1}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B|f(x)|dx<M\qquad\text{for a constant } M;$$

where we noted $B(R)$ a ball of radius $R>0$ and $f_B=\frac{1}{|B|}\displaystyle\int_{B(R)}f(x)dx$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{bmo}$ is then fixed as the smallest constant M satisfying these two conditions.

We will use the next properties for a function belonging to *bmo*:

Proposition 2.1 *Let $f\in bmo$, then*

1) *for all $1<p<+\infty$, f is locally in L^p and $\frac{1}{|B|}\displaystyle\int_B|f(x)-f_B|^pdx\leq C\|f\|_{bmo}^p$*
2) *for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, we have $|f_{2^kB}-f_B|\leq Ck\|f\|_{bmo}$ where $2^kB(R)=B(2^kR)$.*

Proposition 2.2 *Let f be a function in $bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $k\in\mathbb{N}$, define f_k by*

$$f_k(x)=\begin{cases}-k & \text{if}\quad f(x)\leq -k\\ f(x) & \text{if}\quad -k\leq f(x)\leq k\\ k & \text{if}\quad k\leq f(x).\end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Then $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to f in $bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a proof of these results and more details on Hardy, Hölder and *bmo* spaces see [3], [8], [12], [9] and [17].

---

[1] it is not actually a *norm* since $0<\sigma<1$. Local Hardy spaces are, however, complete metric spaces for the distance $d(f,g)=\|f-g\|_{h^\sigma}^\sigma$. More details can be found in [8] and [17].

3 L^1 control for small molecules: proof of theorem 2

As said in the introduction, we need to construct a suitable control in time for the L^1-norm of the solutions $\psi(\cdot,t)$ of the backward problem (6) where the inital data ψ_0 is a *small* r-molecule. This will be achieved by iteration in two different steps. The first step explains the molecules' deformation after a very small time $s_0>0$, which is related to the size r by the bounds $0<s_0\leq \epsilon r$ with ϵ a small constant. In order to obtain a control of the L^1 norm for larger times we need to perform a second step which takes as a starting point the results of the first step and gives us the deformation for another small time s_1, which is also related to the original size r. Once this is achieved it is enough to iterate the second step as many times as necessary to get rid of the dependence of the times $s_0, s_1, ...$ from the molecule's size. This way we obtain the L^1 control needed for all time $0<t<T$.

It is important to explain why we need two steps and why the iteration is performed over the second step: the first step below gives us small time molecule's evolution, however we are not going to recover molecules in the sense of definition 1.1, but deformed ones: we can not simply reapply these calculations since the input is quite different from the output. The second step is thus an attempt to recover some of the previous molecular properties taking as initial data these molecules after deformation. We will see how to control the deformed molecules to obtain similar profiles in the inputs and the outputs in section 3.2 and only then we will perform the iteration in section 3.3.

3.1 Small time molecule's evolution: First step

The following theorem shows how the molecular properties are deformed with the evolution for a small time s_0.

Theorem 5 *Let* $\alpha\in]0,1/2]$. *Set* σ, γ *and* ω *three real numbers such that* $\frac{n}{n+2\alpha}<\sigma<1$, $\gamma=n(\frac{1}{\sigma}-1)$ *and* $0<\gamma<\omega<2\alpha$. *Let* $\psi(x,s_0)$ *be a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{s_0}\psi(x,s_0) = & -\nabla\cdot(v\,\psi)(x,s_0)-\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi(x,s_0)\\ \\ \psi(x,0) = & \psi_0(x) \\ \\ div(v) = & 0 \quad and \quad v\in L^\infty([0,T];bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad with \sup\limits_{s_0\in[0,T]}\|v(\cdot,s_0)\|_{bmo}\leq\mu \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

If ψ_0 *is a small* r*-molecule in the sense of definition 1.1 for the local Hardy space* $h^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, then there exists a positive constant* $K=K(\mu)$ *big enough and a positive constant* ϵ *such that for all* $0<s_0\leq\epsilon r$ *small we have the following estimates*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)||x-x(s_0)|^{\omega}dx \;\leq\; G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma} \tag{14}$$

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty} \;\leq\; \frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}} \tag{15}$$

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1} \;\leq\; \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}} \tag{16}$$

where $G_0(r,s_0)=(r+Ks_0)$ *is a* target function, $0<c_0<1$ *is a constant and* v_n *denotes the volume of the* n*-dimensional unit ball.*

The new molecule's center $x(s_0)$ *used in formula (14) is fixed by*

$$\begin{cases} x'(s_0) = & \overline{v}_{B_r}=\frac{1}{|B_r|}\displaystyle\int_{B_r}v(y,s_0)dy \\ \\ x(0) = & x_0. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where $B_r=B(x(s_0),r)$.

Remark 3.1

1) The definition of the point $x(s_0)$ given by (17) reflects the molecule's center transport using velocity v.
2) Estimates (14)-(16) explain the molecules' deformation following the evolution of the system. Note in particular that if $s_0\longrightarrow 0$ in (14) and (15) we recover the initial molecular conditions (3) and (4).
3) Remark that it is enough to treat the case $0<G_0(r,s_0)<1$. Indeed, if $G_0(r,s_0)=1$ and if $\frac{s_0}{r}\approx\epsilon$, we have $r\approx\frac{1}{1+K\epsilon}$ and the right-hand side of (16) will be bounded by $v_n\big(\frac{1+K\epsilon}{1+c_0\epsilon}\big)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}$. The L^1 control will be trivial then for time s_0 and beyond: we only need to apply the maximum principle.

The proof of this theorem follows the next scheme: the small concentration condition (14), which is proven in the proposition 3.1, implies the height condition (15) (proved in proposition 3.2). Once we have these two conditions, the L^1 estimate (16) will follow easily and this is proven in proposition 3.3.

Proposition 3.1 (Small time Concentration condition) *Under the hypothesis of theorem 5, if ψ_0 is a small r-molecule, then the solution $\psi(x,s)$ of (13) satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)||x-x(s_0)|^{\omega}dx \leq G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}$$

for $x(s_0)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ fixed by formula (17), with $0\leq s_0\leq \epsilon r$ and $G_0(r,s_0)=(r+Ks_0)$.

Proof. Let us write $\Omega(x-x(s_0))=|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega}$ and $\psi(x)=\psi_+(x)-\psi_-(x)$ where the functions $\psi_\pm(x)\geq 0$ have disjoint support. We will note $\psi_\pm(x,s_0)$ solutions of (13) with $\psi_\pm(x,0)=\psi_\pm(x)$.

At this point, we assume the following positivity principle which is proven in section 5:

Theorem 6 *Let $1\leq p\leq +\infty$, if initial data $\psi_0\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $0\leq\psi_0(x)\leq M$, then the associated solution $\psi(x,s_0)$ of (13) satisfies $0\leq\psi(x,s_0)\leq M$ for all $s_0\in[0,T]$.*

Thus, by linearity and using the above theorem we have that

$$|\psi(x,s_0)|=|\psi_+(x,s_0)-\psi_-(x,s_0)|\leq\psi_+(x,s_0)+\psi_-(x,s_0)$$

and we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)|\Omega(x-x(s_0))dx\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi_+(x,s_0)\Omega(x-x(s_0))dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\psi_-(x,s_0)\Omega(x-x(s_0))dx$$

so we only have to treat one of the integrals on the right side above. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left|\partial_{s_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Omega(x-x(s_0))\psi_+(x,s_0)dx\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\partial_{s_0}\Omega(x-x(s_0))\psi_+(x,s_0)+\Omega(x-x(s_0))\left[-\nabla\cdot(v\,\psi_+(x,s_0))-\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x,s_0)\right]dx\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}-\nabla\Omega(x-x(s_0))\cdot x'(s_0)\psi_+(x,s_0)+\Omega(x-x(s_0))\left[-\nabla\cdot(v\,\psi_+(x,s_0))-\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x,s_0)\right]dx\right| \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that v is divergence free, we obtain

$$I=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla\Omega(x-x(s_0))\cdot(v-x'(s_0))\psi_+(x,s_0)-\Omega(x-x(s_0))\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x,s_0)dx\right|.$$

Finally, using the definition of $x'(s_0)$ given in (17) and replacing $\Omega(x-x(s_0))$ by $|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega}$ we obtain

$$I\leq \underbrace{c\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}|v-\overline{v}_{B_r}||\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx}_{I_1}+\underbrace{c\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx}_{I_2}. \tag{18}$$

Remark 3.2 Note that when $0<\alpha<1/2$ the power of the factor $|x-x(s_0)|$ is different in I_1 and I_2, so calculations are a slightly easier when $\alpha=1/2$. We will see that the other case is not too complicated either.

We will study separately each of the integrals I_1 and I_2 in the next lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 *For integral I_1 above we have the estimate*

$$I_1\leq C\mu\, r^{\omega-1-\gamma}.$$

Proof. We begin by considering the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the union of a ball with dyadic coronas centered on $x(s_0)$, more precisely we set $\mathbb{R}^n=B_r\cup\bigcup_{k\geq1}E_k$ where

$$\begin{aligned} B_r &= \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x-x(s_0)|\leq r\}. \qquad (19)\\ E_k &= \{x\in\mathbb{R}^n: r2^{k-1}<|x-x(s_0)|\leq r2^k\}\quad\text{for } k\geq1, \end{aligned}$$

(i) Estimations over the ball B_r.

Applying Hölder inequality on integral I_1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r} |x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx &\leq \underbrace{\||x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}\|_{L^p(B_r)}}_{(1)} \\ &\times \underbrace{\|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}\|_{L^z(B_r)}}_{(2)}\underbrace{\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^q(B_r)}}_{(3)}\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p, z, q > 1$. We treat each of the previous terms separately:

- First observe that for $1 < p < n/(1 - \omega)$ we have for the term (1) above:

$$\||x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}\|_{L^p(B_r)} \leq Cr^{n/p+\omega-1}.$$

- By hypothesis we have $v(\cdot, s_0) \in bmo$, thus

$$\|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}\|_{L^z(B_r)} \leq C|B_r|^{1/z}\|v(\cdot, s_0)\|_{bmo}.$$

  since $\sup\limits_{s_0\in[0,T]} \|v(\cdot, s_0)\|_{bmo} \leq \mu$ we write for the term (2)

$$\|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}\|_{L^z(B_r)} \leq C\mu\, r^{n/z}.$$

- Finally for (3) by the maximum principle (10) for L^q norms we have $\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^q(B_r)} \leq \|\psi_+(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^q}$; hence using the fact that ψ_0 is an r-molecule and remark 1.1-2) we obtain

$$\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^q(B_r)} \leq C\left[r^{-\gamma}\right]^{1/q}\left[\frac{1}{r^{n+\gamma}}\right]^{1-1/q}.$$

We gather all these inequalities together in order to obtain the following estimation for (20):

$$\int_{B_r} |x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx \leq C\mu\, r^{\omega-1-\gamma}. \tag{21}$$

(ii) Estimations for the dyadic corona E_k.

Let us note I_k the integral

$$I_k = \int_{E_k} |x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_r}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx.$$

Since over E_k we have[2] $|x - x(s_0)|^{\omega-1} \leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}$ we write

$$I_k \leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{E_k} |v - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx + \int_{E_k} |\overline{v}_{B_r} - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx\right)$$

where we noted $B_{r2^k} = B(x(s_0), r2^k)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}I &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{B_{r2^k}} |v - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx\right. \\ &\qquad\left. + \int_{B_{r2^k}} |\overline{v}_{B_r} - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $v(\cdot, s_0) \in bmo$, using proposition 2.1 we have

$$|\overline{v}_{B_r} - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}| \leq Ck\|v(\cdot, s_0)\|_{bmo} \leq Ck\mu$$

and we can write

$$\begin{aligned}I_k &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{B_{r2^k}} |v - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}||\psi_+(x, s_0)|dx + Ck\mu\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}\right) \\ &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}\left(\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^{a_0}}\|v - \overline{v}_{B_{r2^k}}\|_{L^{\frac{a_0}{a_0-1}}} + Ck\mu\, r^{-\gamma}\right)\end{aligned}$$

---

[2] recall that $0 < \gamma < \omega < 2\alpha \leq 1$.

where we used Hölder inequality with $1 < a_0 < \frac{n}{n+(\omega-1)}$ and maximum principle for the last term above. Using again the properties of bmo spaces we have

$$I_k \leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1}\left(\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1}^{1/a_0}\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/a_0}|B_{r2^k}|^{1-1/a_0}\|v(\cdot,s)\|_{bmo} + Ck\mu r^{-\gamma}\right).$$

Let us now apply estimates given by hypothesis over $\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1}$, $\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|v(\cdot,s_0)\|_{bmo}$ to obtain

$$I_k \leq C2^{k(n-n/a_0+\omega-1)}r^{\omega-1-\gamma}\mu + C2^{k(\omega-1)}k\mu\, r^{\omega-1-\gamma}.$$

Since $1 < a_0 < \frac{n}{n+(\omega-1)}$, we have $n - n/a_0 + (\omega-1) < 0$, so that, summing over each dyadic corona E_k, we have

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} I_k \leq C\mu\, r^{\omega-1-\gamma}. \tag{22}$$

Finally, gathering together estimations (21) and (22) we obtain the desired conclusion.

■

Lemma 3.2 *For integral I_2 in inequality (18) we have the following estimate*

$$I_2 \leq Cr^{\omega-1-\gamma}.$$

Proof. As for lemma 3.1, we consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the union of a ball with dyadic coronas centered on $x(s_0)$ (cf. (19)).

(i) Estimations over the ball B_r.

We apply now the Hölder inequality in the integral I_2 above with $1 < a_1 < n/(2\alpha-\omega)$ and $\frac{1}{a_1}+\frac{1}{b_1} = 1$ in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx &\leq \||x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}\|_{L^{a_1}(B_r)}\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^{b_1}(B_r)}\\ &\leq Cr^{n/a_1+\omega-2\alpha}\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1}^{1/b_1}\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/b_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using hypothesis over $\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1}$ and $\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}$ we obtain

$$\int_{B_r}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx \leq Cr^{\omega-2\alpha-\gamma}.$$

We have two cases: if $\alpha = 1/2$ we obtain the estimate needed over the ball B_r; but for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ recall that we are working with small molecules so we have $0 < r < 1$ and $r^{\omega-2\alpha-\gamma} \leq r^{\omega-1-\gamma}$. Thus, in any case we can write:

$$\int_{B_r}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx \leq Cr^{\omega-1-\gamma}. \tag{23}$$

(ii) Estimations for the dyadic corona E_k.

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{E_k}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx &\leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)}r^{\omega-2\alpha}\int_{E_k}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx \leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)}r^{\omega-2\alpha}\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\\ &\leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)}r^{\omega-2\alpha}\|\psi_+(\cdot,0)\|_{L^1} \leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)}r^{\omega-2\alpha-\gamma}\end{aligned}$$

It is at this step that the flexibility of molecules is essential. Indeed, in the definition 1.1 we have fixed $0 < \gamma < \omega < 2\alpha$ so we have $\omega - 2\alpha < 0$ and thus, summing over $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\int_{E_k}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx \leq Cr^{\omega-2\alpha-\gamma}.$$

Repeating the same argument used before (*i.e.* the fact that $0 < r < 1$), we finally obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\int_{E_k}|x-x(s_0)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x,s_0)|dx \leq Cr^{\omega-1-\gamma}. \tag{24}$$

In order to finish the proof of lemma 3.2 we glue together estimates (23) and (24).

■

Now we continue the proof of the proposition 3.1. Using lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and getting back to estimate (18) we have

$$\left|\partial_{s_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Omega(x-x(s_0))\psi_+(x,s_0)dx\right|\leq C(\mu+1)\,r^{\omega-1-\gamma}$$

This last estimation is compatible with the estimate (14) for $0\leq s_0\leq \epsilon r$ small enough: just fix K such that

$$C\,(\mu+1)\leq K(\omega-\gamma). \tag{25}$$

Indeed, since the time s_0 is very small, we can linearize the *target function* in the right-hand side of (14) in order to obtain

$$\phi=G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}=(r+Ks_0)^{\omega-\gamma}\approx r^{\omega-\gamma}\left(1+[K(\omega-\gamma)]\frac{s_0}{r}\right). \tag{26}$$

Finally, taking the derivative with respect to s_0 in the above expression we have $\phi'\approx r^{\omega-1-\gamma}K(\omega-\gamma)$ and with condition (25) proposition 3.1 follows.

■

Now we will give a sligthly different proof of the maximum principle of A. Córdoba & D. Córdoba. Indeed, the following proof only relies on the concentration condition proved in the lines above.

Proposition 3.2 (Small time Height condition) *Under the hypothesis of theorem 5, if $\psi(x,s_0)$ satisfies concentration condition (14), then we have the next height condition*

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+Cs_0\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. To begin with, we observe that this inequality is easily verified if

$$\|\psi(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{1}{2r^{n+\gamma}}.$$

Indeed, since time s_0 is small we can obtain (27) from this estimate. So, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\frac{1}{2r^{n+\gamma}}\leq\|\psi(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{1}{r^{n+\gamma}}. \tag{28}$$

Assume now that molecules we are working with are smooth enough. Following an idea of [7] (section 4 p.522-523), we will note $\overline{x}$ the point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\psi(\overline{x},s_0)=\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}$. Thus we can write

$$\frac{d}{ds_0}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)|}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\leq 0. \tag{29}$$

For simplicity, we will assume that $\psi(\overline{x},s_0)$ is positive.

Let us consider the corona centered in $\overline{x}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n:R_1\leq|\overline{x}-y|\leq R_2\}$$

where $R_2=\rho R_1$ with $\rho>2$ and where R_1 will be fixed later. Then:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\geq\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy.$$

Define the sets B_1 and B_2 by $B_1=\{y\in\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2):\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)\geq\frac{1}{2}\psi(\overline{x},s_0)\}$ and $B_2=\{y\in\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2):\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)<\frac{1}{2}\psi(\overline{x},s_0)\}$ such that $\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)=B_1\cup B_2$.

We obtain the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy &\geq \int_{B_1}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\\ &\geq \frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2R_2^{n+2\alpha}}|B_1|=\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2R_2^{n+2\alpha}}\left(|\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)|-|B_2|\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $R_2 = \rho R_1$ one has

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)} \frac{\psi(\overline{x}, s_0) - \psi(y, s_0)}{|\overline{x} - y|^{n+2\alpha}} dy \geq \frac{\psi(\overline{x}, s_0)}{2\rho^{n+2\alpha} R_1^{n+2\alpha}} \left(v_n(\rho^n - 1)R_1^n - |B_2| \right) \tag{30}$$

where v_n denotes the volume of the n-dimensional unit ball.

Now, we will estimate the quantity $|B_2|$ in terms of $\psi(\overline{x}, s_0)$ and R_1 with the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *For the set B_2 we have the following estimations*

1) if $|\overline{x} - x(s_0)| > 2R_2$ then $C_1 \psi(\overline{x}, s_0)^{-1} R_1^{-\omega} \geq |B_2|$.

2) if $|\overline{x} - x(s_0)| < R_1/2$ then $C_1 \psi(\overline{x}, s_0)^{-1} R_1^{-\omega} \geq |B_2|$.

3) if $R_1/2 \leq |\overline{x} - x(s_0)| \leq 2R_2$ then $(C_2 R_1^{n-\omega} \psi(\overline{x}, s_0)^{-1})^{1/2} \geq |B_2|$.

Recall that for the molecule's center $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we noted its transport by $x(s_0)$ which is defined by formula (17).

Proof. For all these estimates, our starting point is the concentration condition (14). Therefore, since we assumed that the target function $G_0(r, s_0) = (r + Ks_0)$ is bounded by one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(y, s_0)||y - x(s_0)|^\omega dy &\geq \int_{B_2} |\psi(y, s_0)||y - x(s_0)|^\omega dy \\ &\geq \frac{\psi(\overline{x}, s_0)}{2} \int_{B_2} |y - x(s_0)|^\omega dy. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

We just need to estimate the last integral following the cases given by the lemma. The first two cases are very similar. Indeed, if $|\overline{x} - x(s_0)| > 2R_2$ then we have

$$\min_{y \in B_2 \subset \mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)} |y - x(s_0)|^\omega \geq R_2^\omega = \rho^\omega R_1^\omega$$

while for the second case, if $|\overline{x} - x(s_0)| < R_1/2$, one has

$$\min_{y \in B_2 \subset \mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)} |y - x(s_0)|^\omega \geq \frac{R_1^\omega}{2^\omega}.$$

Applying these results to (31) we obtain $1 \geq \frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2} \rho^\omega R_1^\omega |B_2|$ and $1 \geq \frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2} \frac{R_1^\omega}{2^\omega} |B_2|$, and since $\rho > 2$ we have the desired estimate

$$\frac{C_1}{\psi(\overline{x}, s_0) R_1^\omega} \geq \frac{2}{\rho^\omega \psi(\overline{x}, s_0) R_1^\omega} \geq |B_2| \tag{32}$$

with $C_1 = 2^{1+\omega}$.

For the last case, since $R_1/2 \leq |\overline{x} - x(s_0)| \leq 2R_2$ we can write using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\int_{B_2} |y - x(s_0)|^\omega dy \geq |B_2|^2 \left(\int_{B_2} |y - x(s_0)|^{-\omega} dy \right)^{-1} \tag{33}$$

Now, observe that in this case we have $B_2 \subset B(x(s_0), 5R_2)$ and then

$$\int_{B_2} |y - x(s_0)|^{-\omega} dy \leq \int_{B(x(s_0),5R_2)} |y - x(s_0)|^{-\omega} dy \leq v_n (5\rho R_1)^{n-\omega}.$$

Getting back to(33) we obtain

$$\int_{B_2} |y - x(s_0)|^\omega dy \geq |B_2|^2 v_n^{-1} (5\rho R_1)^{-n+\omega}$$

We use this estimate in (31) to obtain

$$\frac{C_2 R_1^{n/2-\omega/2}}{\psi(\overline{x}, s_0)^{1/2}} \geq |B_2|, \tag{34}$$

where $C_2 = (2 \times 5^{n-\omega} v_n \rho^{n-\omega})^{1/2}$. The lemma is proven.

■

With estimates (32) and (34) at our disposal we can write

(i) if $|\overline{x}-x(s_0)|>2R_2$ or $|\overline{x}-x(s_0)|<R_1/2$ then

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\geq\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2\rho^{n+2\alpha}R_1^{n+2\alpha}}\left(v_n(\rho^n-1)R_1^n-\frac{C_1}{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}R_1^{-\omega}\right)$$

(ii) if $R_1/2\leq|\overline{x}-x(s_0)|\leq 2R_2$

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\geq\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)}{2\rho^{n+2\alpha}R_1^{n+2\alpha}}\left(v_n(\rho^n-1)R_1^n-\frac{C_2R_1^{n/2-\omega/2}}{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)^{1/2}}\right)$$

Now, if we set $R_1=\psi(\overline{x},s_0)^{\frac{-1}{n+\omega}}$ and if ρ is big enough, we obtain for cases (i) and (ii) the following estimate for (30):

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}(R_1,R_2)}\frac{\psi(\overline{x},s_0)-\psi(y,s_0)}{|\overline{x}-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy\geq C\psi(\overline{x},s_0)^{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}}$$

where $C=C(n,\rho)=\frac{v_n(\rho^n-1)-\sqrt{2v_n}(5\rho)^{\frac{n-\omega}{2}}}{2\rho^{n+2\alpha}}$ is a small positive constant.

Hence, and for all possible cases considered before, we have the next estimate for (29):

$$\frac{d}{ds_0}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq -C\psi(\overline{x},s_0)^{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}}=-C\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}}.$$

Solving this inequality, one has

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{\|\psi(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}}{(1+Cs_0\|\psi(\cdot,0)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}})^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}},$$

so, using the assumption (28) we obtain:

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{1}{r^{n+\gamma}\left(1+c_0s_0\left(\frac{1}{r^{n+\gamma}}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}}\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}=\frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}$$

where $c_0=\frac{C}{2}$ and therefore, the proof of proposition 3.2 is finished for regular molecules. In order to obtain the global result, remark that, for viscosity solutions (49), we have that $\Delta\theta(\overline{x},s_0)\leq 0$ at the points $\overline{x}$ where $\theta(\cdot,s_0)$ reaches its maximum value.

$\blacksquare$

Remark 3.3 By this procedure we obtain a L^∞ control as long as the concentration condition (14) is bounded by one: this will allow us to let evolve the system for larger times.

We treat now the last part of theorem 5:

Proposition 3.3 (First L^1 estimate) *If $\psi(x,s_0)$ is a solution of the problem (13), then we have the following L^1-norm estimate:*

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\leq\frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}.$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)|dx &= \int_{\{|x-x(s_0)|<D\}}|\psi(x,s_0)|dx+\int_{\{|x-x(s_0)|\geq D\}}|\psi(x,s_0)|dx\\ &\leq v_nD^n\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}+D^{-\omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(x,s_0)||x-x(s_0)|^\omega dx\end{aligned}$$

Now using (27) and (14) one has:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)|dx \leq v_n\frac{D^n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}+D^{-\omega}G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}$$

where v_n denotes the volume of the unit ball. To continue, it is enough to choose correctly the real parameter D to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)|dx\leq v_n\frac{G_0(r,s_0)^{(\omega-\gamma)\frac{n}{n+\omega}}}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}$$

Now, since the target function $G_0(r,s_0)=(r+Ks_0)$ is bounded by one, we finally have

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\leq \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}$$

■

3.2 Molecule's evolution: Second step

In the previous section we have obtained deformed molecules after a very small time s_0. The next theorem shows us how to obtain similar profiles in the inputs and the outputs in order to perform an iteration in time.

Theorem 7 *Let $\alpha\in]0,1/2]$. Set γ and ω two real numbers such that $0<\gamma<\omega<2\alpha$. Let $0<s_1\leq T$ and let $\psi(x,s_1)$ be a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{s_1}\psi(x,s_1)= & -\nabla\cdot(v\,\psi)(x,s_1)-\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi(x,s_1)\\ \psi(x,0)= & \psi(x,s_0) \qquad\qquad \textit{with } s_0>0\\ div(v)= & 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad v\in L^\infty([0,T];bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \textit{with} \underset{s_1\in[s_0,T]}{\sup}\|v(\cdot,s_1)\|_{bmo}\leq\mu \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

If $\psi(x,s_0)$ satisfies the three following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_0)||x-x(s_0)|^\omega dx &\leq G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}\\ \|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}\\ \|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1} &\leq \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}} \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where $K=K(\mu)$ is given by (25) and s_0 is such that $G_0(r,s_0)=r+Ks_0<1$. Then for all $0<s_1\leq\epsilon r^2$ small, we have the following estimates

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_1)||x-x(s_1)|^\omega dx \leq G_1(r,s_0,s_1)^{\omega-\gamma} \tag{37}$$

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+s_1)\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}} \tag{38}$$

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+s_1)\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}} \tag{39}$$

where $G_1(r,s_0,s_1)$ is a new target function *defined by*

$$G_1(r,s_0,s_1)=\left(G_0(r,s_0)+\frac{G_0(r,s_0)^{1+\gamma-\omega}}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}Ks_1\right)$$

Remark 3.4

1) Note that the initial data $\psi(x,s_0)$ of the problem (35) is given by the molecular deformation of theorem 5. Now, it is the quantity $(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0)$ that will give us a new size measure of the initial data $\psi(x,s_0)$, so it is enough to treat the case $0<(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0)<1$. Indeed, if $(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0)\geq 1$, we obtain immediately a L^1 control for a time s_0+s_1 by applying the maximum principle to the hypothesis (36).
2) The new molecule's center $x(s_1)$ used in formula (37) is fixed by

$$\begin{cases} x'(s_1)= & \overline{v}_{B_f}=\frac{1}{|B_f|}\int_{B_f}v(y,s_1)dy\\ x(0)= & x(s_0). \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

   And here we noted $B_f=B(x(s_1),f)$ with $f=f(r,s_0)$ a real valued function given by

$$f(r,s_0)=\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}. \tag{41}$$

   Note that by remark 1) above we have $0<f(r,s_0)<1$.

3) Observe that the new target function G_1 satisfies $G_1(r, s_0, s_1) \underset{s_1\to 0}{\longrightarrow} G_0(r, s_0)$.

4) As for theorem 5, we only need to study the case when $0 < G_1(r, s_0, s_1) < 1$. Indeed, if $G_1(r, s_0, s_1) = 1$ and if $\frac{s_0}{r} \approx \epsilon$ and $\frac{s_1}{r^2} \approx \epsilon$, we write

$$1 = G_1(r, s_0, s_1) = \left((r + Ks_0) + \frac{(r + Ks_0)^{1+\gamma-\omega}}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}} Ks_1\right) \approx r(1 + 2K\epsilon).$$

So we have $r \approx \frac{1}{1+2K\epsilon}$ and we obtain that $\|\psi(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^1} \lesssim v_n \left(\frac{1+2K\epsilon}{1+2c_0\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}} < +\infty$.
In order to keep the same constants during the iterations in the expressions of the type (38) and (39) it will be important in the sequel to assume that the target function G_1 is bounded by one; but as the previous calculation shows this is not a demanding assumption.

To prove this theorem we will follow the same scheme as before: first we prove the concentration condition (37) in the proposition 3.4. With this estimate at hand we will control the L^∞ decay in proposition 3.5 and then we will obtain the suitable L^1 control in proposition 3.6.

Proposition 3.4 (Concentration condition) *Under the hypothesis of theorem 7, if $\psi(\cdot, s_0)$ is an initial data then the solution $\psi(x, s_1)$ of (35) satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(x, s_1)||x - x(s_1)|^\omega dx \leq G_1(r, s_0, s_1)^{\omega-\gamma} = \left((r + Ks_0) + \frac{(r + Ks_0)^{1+\gamma-\omega}}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}} Ks_1\right)^{\omega-\gamma}$$

for $x(s_1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ fixed by formula (40), with $0 \leq s_1 \leq \epsilon r^2$.

Proof. The calculations are very similar of those of the proposition 3.1: the only diference stems from the initial data and the definition of the center $x(s_1)$. So, let us write $\Omega(x - x(s_1)) = |x - x(s_1)|^\omega$ and $\psi(x) = \psi_+(x) - \psi_-(x)$ where the functions $\psi_\pm(x) \geq 0$ have disjoint support. Thus, by linearity and using the positivity theorem we have

$$|\psi(x, s_1)| = |\psi_+(x, s_1) - \psi_-(x, s_1)| \leq \psi_+(x, s_1) + \psi_-(x, s_1)$$

and we can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi(x, s_1)|\Omega(x - x(s_1))dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_+(x, s_1)\Omega(x - x(s_1))dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_-(x, s_1)\Omega(x - x(s_1))dx$$

so we only have to treat one of the integrals on the right-hand side above. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \left|\partial_{s_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Omega(x - x(s_1))\psi_+(x, s_1)dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{s_1}\Omega(x - x(s_1))\psi_+(x, s_1) + \Omega(x - x(s_1))\left[-\nabla \cdot (v\,\psi_+(x, s_1)) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x, s_1)\right] dx\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\nabla\Omega(x - x(s_1)) \cdot x'(s_1)\psi_+(x, s_1) + \Omega(x - x(s_1))\left[-\nabla \cdot (v\,\psi_+(x, s_1)) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x, s_1)\right] dx\right|
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that v is divergence free, we obtain

$$I = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla\Omega(x - x(s_1)) \cdot (v - x'(s_1))\psi_+(x, s_1) - \Omega(x - x(s_1))\Lambda^{2\alpha}\psi_+(x, s_1)dx\right|.$$

Finally, using the definition of $x'(s_1)$ given in (40) and replacing $\Omega(x - x(s_1))$ by $|x - x(s_1)|^\omega$ we obtain

$$I \leq \underbrace{c\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx}_{I_1} + \underbrace{c\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha}|\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx}_{I_2}. \tag{42}$$

We will study separately each of the integrals I_1 and I_2 in the next lemmas:

Lemma 3.4 *For integral I_1 we have the estimate*

$$I_1 \leq C\mu\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

Proof. We begin by considering the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the union of a ball with dyadic coronas centered on $x(s)$, more precisely we set $\mathbb{R}^n = B_f \cup \bigcup_{k\geq 1} E_k$ where

$$\begin{aligned} B_f &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x(s_1)| \leq f\}, \qquad (43)\\ E_k &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f2^{k-1} < |x - x(s_1)| \leq f2^k\} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Estimations over the ball B_f.

Applying Hölder inequality on integral I_1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,B_f} = \int_{B_f} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx &\leq \underbrace{\||x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}\|_{L^p(B_f)}}_{(1)} \\ &\times \underbrace{\|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}\|_{L^z(B_f)}}_{(2)}\underbrace{\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^q(B_f)}}_{(3)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $p, z, q > 1$. We treat each of the previous terms separately:

- Observe that for $1 < p < n/(1 - \omega)$ we have
$$\||x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}\|_{L^p(B_f)} \leq Cf^{n/p+\omega-1}.$$
- By hypothesis we have $v(\cdot, s_1) \in bmo$, thus
$$\|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}\|_{L^z(B_f)} \leq C|B_f|^{1/z}\|v(\cdot, s_1)\|_{bmo}.$$
since $\sup\limits_{s_1\in[s_0,T]} \|v(\cdot, s_1)\|_{bmo} \leq \mu$ we write
$$\|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}\|_{L^z(B_f)} \leq Cf^{n/z}\mu.$$
- Finally, by the maximum principle for L^q norms we have $\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^q(B_f)} \leq \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^q}$; hence we obtain
$$\|\psi_+(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^q(B_f)} \leq \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/q}\|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q}.$$

We gather all these inequalities in order to obtain the following estimation for I_{1,B_f}:

$$I_{1,B_f} = \int_{B_f} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx \leq C\mu f^{n(1-1/q)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/q}\|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q}.$$

(ii) Estimations for the dyadic corona E_k.

Let us note I_{1,E_k} the integral

$$I_{1,E_k} = \int_{E_k} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1}|v - \overline{v}_{B_f}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx.$$

Since over E_k we have $|x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-1} \leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}f^{\omega-1}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,E_k} &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}f^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{E_k} |v - \overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx + \int_{E_k} |\overline{v}_{B_f} - \overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx\right) \\ &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)}f^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{B(f2^k)} |v - \overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx \right.\\ &\qquad\left. + \int_{B(f2^k)} |\overline{v}_{B_f} - \overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}||\psi_+(x, s_1)|dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $v(\cdot, s_1) \in bmo$, using proposition 2.1 we have

$$|\overline{v}_{B_f} - \overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}| \leq Ck\|v(\cdot, s_1)\|_{bmo} \leq Ck\mu$$

and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,E_k} &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)} f^{\omega-1}\left(\int_{B(f2^k)} |v-\overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}||\psi_+(x,s_1)|dx + Ck\mu\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1}\right) \\ &\leq C2^{k(\omega-1)} f^{\omega-1}\left(\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^{a_0}}\|v-\overline{v}_{B(f2^k)}\|_{L^{\frac{a_0}{a_0-1}}} + Ck\mu\,\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder inequality with $1 < a_0 < \frac{n}{n+(\omega-1)}$ and maximum principle for the last term above. Using again the properties of *bmo* spaces we have

$$I_{1,E_k} \leq C2^{k(\omega-1)} f^{\omega-1}\left(\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/a_0}\|\psi_+(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/a_0}|B(f2^k)|^{1-1/a_0}\|v(\cdot,s_1)\|_{bmo} + Ck\mu\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\right).$$

Since $\|v(\cdot,s_1)\|_{bmo} \leq \mu$ and since $1 < a_0 < \frac{n}{n+(\omega-1)}$, we have $n(1-1/a_0)+(\omega-1) < 0$, so that, summing over each dyadic corona E_k, we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} I_{1,E_k} \leq C\mu\left(f^{n(1-1/a_0)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/a_0}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/a_0} + f^{\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}\right).$$

We finally obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= I_{1,B_f} + \sum_{k\geq 1} I_{1,E_k} \\ &\leq C\mu\underbrace{f^{n(1-1/q)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/q}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q}}_{(a)} \\ &\quad + C\mu\left(\underbrace{f^{n(1-1/a_0)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/a_0}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/a_0}}_{(b)} + \underbrace{f^{\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}}_{(c)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Now we will prove that each of the terms (a), (b) and (c) above is bounded by the quantity

$$\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

Indeed:

- for the first term (a) by the hypothesis on the initial data $\psi(\cdot,s_0)$ and the definition of f given in (41) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{n(1-1/q)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/q}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/q} &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(n(1-1/q)+\omega-1\right)} \\ &\quad\times\left[\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1/q}\left[\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s\right)^{-\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1-1/q} \\ &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left([n(1-1/q)+\omega-1]-\frac{\omega}{q}-(n+\omega)(1-1/q)\right)} \\ &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

- For the second term (b) we have, by the same arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{n(1-1/a_0)+\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1/a_0}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-1/a_0} &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left(n(1-1/a_0)+\omega-1\right)} \\ &\quad\times\left[\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1/a_0}\left[\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1-1/a_0} \\ &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left([n(1-1/a_0)+\omega-1]-\frac{\omega}{a_0}-(n+\omega)(1-1/a_0)\right)} \\ &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

- Finally, for the last term (c) we write

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\omega-1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^1} &\leq f^{\omega-1}(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}} = (r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\omega-1)}(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}} \\ &\leq \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering these estimates on $(a), (b)$ and (c), and getting back to (44) we finally obtain

$$I_1 \leq C\mu\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

The lemma 3.4 is proven.

■

Lemma 3.5 *For integral I_2 in inequality (42) we have the following estimate*

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha} |\psi_+(x, s_1)| dx \leq C\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}.$$

Proof. As for lemma 3.4, we consider $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the union of a ball with dyadic coronas centered on $x(s_1)$ (cf. (43)).

(i) Estimations over the ball B_f.

Applying Hölder inequality with $1 < a_1 < n/(2\alpha - \omega)$ and maximum principle we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,B_f} = \int_{B_f} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha} |\psi_+(x, s_1)| dx &\leq \||x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha}\|_{L^{a_1}(B_f)} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^{b_1}(B_f)} \\ &\leq Cf^{n/a_1+\omega-2\alpha} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1-1/a_1} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1/a_1}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point we distinguish again two cases.

- If $\alpha = 1/2$ we obtain immediately the estimate (45) below.
- For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, recall that by the definition of the function f we have the estimate $0 < f < 1$ and we obtain that $f^{\omega-2\alpha-\gamma} \leq f^{\omega-1-\gamma}$. Thus, we can write:

$$I_{2,B_f} \leq Cf^{n/a_1+\omega-1} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1-1/a_1} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1/a_1}. \tag{45}$$

(ii) Estimations for the dyadic corona E_k.

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,E_k} = \int_{E_k} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha} |\psi_+(x, s_1)| dx &\leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)} f^{\omega-2\alpha} \int_{E_k} |\psi_+(x, s_1)| dx \leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)} f^{\omega-2\alpha} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_1)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C2^{k(\omega-2\alpha)} f^{\omega-2\alpha} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \gamma < \omega < 2\alpha$ we have $\omega - 2\alpha < 0$ and thus, summing over $k \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq1} I_{2,E_k} = \sum_{k\geq1} \int_{E_k} |x - x(s_1)|^{\omega-2\alpha} |\psi_+(x, s_1)| dx \leq Cf^{\omega-2\alpha} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}.$$

Repeating the same argument used before (*i.e.* the fact that $0 < f < 1$), we finally get

$$\sum_{k\geq1} I_{2,E_k} \leq Cf^{\omega-1} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}. \tag{46}$$

To finish the proof of the lemma 3.5 we glue together (45) and (46) and we obtain

$$I_2 = I_{2,B_f} + \sum_{k\geq1} I_{2,E_k} \leq C \left(\underbrace{f^{n/a_1+\omega-1} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1-1/a_1} \|\psi_+(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1/a_1}}_{(d)} + \underbrace{f^{\omega-1} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}}_{(e)} \right)$$

Now, we prove that the quantities (d) and (e) can be bounded by $\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$.

- For the term (d) we write

$$\begin{aligned} f^{n/a_1+\omega-1} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^1}^{1-1/a_1} \|\psi(\cdot, s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{1/a_1} &\leq f^{n/a_1+\omega-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1-1/a_1} \left[\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}\right]^{1/a_1} \\ &\leq \big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}\left([n/a_1+\omega-1]-\omega(1-1/a_1)-\frac{n+\omega}{a_1}\right)} \\ &\leq \big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

- To treat the term (e) it is enough to apply the same arguments used to prove the part (c) above.

Finally, we obtain

$$I_2 = I_{2,B_f} + \sum_{k\geq 1} I_{2,E_k} \leq C\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$$

The lemma 3.5 is proven.

■

Now we continue the proof of the proposition 3.4. Using lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 and getting back to the estimate (42) we have

$$\left|\partial_{s_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Omega(x-x(s_1))\psi_+(x,s_1)dx\right| \leq C\,(\mu+1)\,\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}} \tag{47}$$

This estimation is compatible with the estimate (37) for $0 \leq s_1 \leq \epsilon r^2$ small enough. Indeed, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi = G_1(r,s_0,s_1)^{\omega-\gamma} &= \left(G_0(r,s_0) + \frac{G_0(r,s_0)^{1+\gamma-\omega}}{\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}} K s_1\right)^{\omega-\gamma}\\
&= G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}\left(1 + \frac{K}{\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}\frac{s_1}{G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}}\right)^{\omega-\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

and we linearize this expression with respect to s_1. Remark that in order to perform the linearization we need $s_1 \leq \epsilon r^2$ to be small. Note also that the bound $s_1 \leq \epsilon r$ stated in the first iteration is not enough since the powers of r in the parentheses above are different comparing to (26) and this fact explains the choice of r^2; so after linearization we obtain

$$\phi \approx G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}\left(1 + \frac{K(\omega-\gamma)}{\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}\frac{s_1}{G_0(r,s_0)^{\omega-\gamma}}\right).$$

Taking the derivative of ϕ with respect to s_1 we have $\phi' \approx K(\omega-\gamma)\big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0 s_0\big)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ and with the condition (25) on $K(\omega-\gamma)$ we obtain that (47) is bounded by ϕ' and the proposition 3.4 follows.

■

Now we write down the maximum principle for a small time s_1 but with a initial condition $\psi(\cdot,s_0)$, with $s_0 > 0$.

Proposition 3.5 (Height condition) *Under the hypothesis of theorem 7, if $\psi(x,s_1)$ satisfies concentration condition (37), then we have the next height condition*

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\Big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0(s_0+s_1)\Big)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows essentially the same lines of the proposition 3.2. Indeed, since we have assumed that concentration condition (37) is bounded by one, we obtain in the same manner and with the same constants:

$$\frac{d}{ds_1}\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq -C\psi(\overline{x},s_1)^{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}} = -C\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}}.$$

Solving this inequality one has

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}}{(1 + Cs_1\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2\alpha}{n+\omega}})^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}},$$

Now, using the hypotesis over $\|\psi(\cdot,s_0)\|_{L^\infty}$ we have

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\Big(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}} + c_0(s_0+s_1)\Big)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}.$$

■

The crucial part of the proof of theorem 7 is given by the next proposition which gives us a control on the L^1-norm for a time $s_0 + s_1$.

Proposition 3.6 (Second L^1-norm estimate) *Under the hypothesis of theorem (7) we have*

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1}\leq \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+s_1)\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}$$

Proof. We write:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x-x(s_1)|<B\}}|\psi(x,s_1)|dx+\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x-x(s_1)|\geq B\}}|\psi(x,s_1)|dx\\ &\leq v_nB^n\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^\infty}+B^{-\omega}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_1)||x-x(s_1)|^{\omega}dx\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the estimates (37) and (38) to obtain:

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1} \leq v_nB^n\frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+C(s_0+s_1)\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}+B^{-\omega}G_1(r,s_0,s_1)^{\omega-\gamma}$$

Choosing carefully B, and since the target function G_1 is bounded by one, we have

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_1)\|_{L^1}\leq \frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+s_1)\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}.$$

■

3.3 The iteration

In sections 3.1 and 3.2 we studied respectively the evolution of small molecules from time 0 to a small time s_0 and from this time s_0 to a larger time s_0+s_1 and we obtained a good L^1 control for such molecules. Observe that in this process the relative size of the molecule grows: we started with a r-molecule at time $t=0$ which becomes at time $t=s_0+s_1$ a deformed molecule of L^1 relative size given by $\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+s_1)\right)$ (see estimate (39)).

It is now possible to reapply the theorem 7 in order to obtain a larger time control of the L^1 norm. The calculus of the N-th iteration will be exactly the same, although it will be necessary to adapt the target function G_N and the function f defined in (41) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}G_N(r,s_0,...,s_N) &= \left(G_{N-1}(r,s_0,...,s_{N-1})+\frac{G_{N-1}(r,s_0,...,s_{N-1})^{1+\gamma-\omega}}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+\cdots+s_{N-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}}Ks_N\right)\\ f(r,s_0,...,s_{N-1}) &= \left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+\cdots+s_{N-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}\end{aligned}$$

where $s_0\leq\epsilon r$ and $s_1,...,s_N\leq\epsilon r^2$. Observe in particular that $G_N\longrightarrow G_{N-1}$ as $s_N\longrightarrow 0$.

Again, it is enough to assume that $f(r,s_0,...,s_{N-1})<1$ and to study the case when $0<G_N<1$. Hence, taking as hypothesis the estimates of the step $N-1$ and repeating calculations of section 3.2, we will obtain the following concentration condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\psi(x,s_N)||x-x(s_N)|^{\omega}dx\leq G_N(r,s_0,...,s_N)^{\omega-\gamma}$$

which implies the L^∞ estimate

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_N\|_{L^\infty}\leq\frac{1}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+\cdots+s_N)\right)^{\frac{n+\omega}{2\alpha}}}$$

Thus, with these two inequalities we have a L^1 estimate for time $s_0+\cdots+s_N$:

$$\|\psi(\cdot,s_N)\|_{L^1}\leq\frac{v_n}{\left(r^{2\alpha\frac{n+\gamma}{n+\omega}}+c_0(s_0+\cdots+s_N)\right)^{\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}}.$$

Observe now that the smallness of r and of the times $s_0,...,s_N$ is compensated by the number of iterations $N=N(r)$; so we will stop the iterations as soon as $s_0+\cdots+s_N>\delta$, with δ an independent constant and we will obtain $\|\psi(\cdot,s_N)\|_{L^1}\leq v_n\,(c_0\delta)^{-\frac{\omega}{2\alpha}}<+\infty$. Note in particular that, once this estimate is available, for bigger times it is enough to apply the maximum principle.

Finally, and for all $r>0$, we obtain a L^1 control for small molecules and we finish the proof of the theorem 2.

■

4 Existence and uniqueness for L^p initial data

In this section we will study existence and uniqueness for weak solution of equation (1) for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ with initial data $\theta_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $p \geq 2$. Remark that equation (1) differs mainly from the backward equation (6) by the sign of the velocity. Since the velocity v is a data for the problem, it is equivalent to consider $-v$ instead of v, thus, for simplicity, we fix velocity's sign in the next way:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta(x,t) + \nabla \cdot (v\,\theta)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,t) = 0 \\ \\ \theta(x,0) = \theta_0(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \\ div(v) = 0 \quad \text{ and } v \in L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

4.1 Viscosity Solutions

The term *Viscosity Solutions* is taken from [7] and it refers to weak solutions of (48) which are the weak limit, as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, of a sequence of solutions of problems

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \theta(x,t) + \nabla \cdot (v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,t) = \varepsilon\Delta\theta(x,t) \\ \\ \theta(x,0) = \theta_0(x) \\ \\ div(v) = 0 \quad \text{ and } v \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

where v_ε is defined by $v_\varepsilon = v * \omega_\varepsilon$ with $\omega_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-n}\omega(x/\varepsilon)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a function such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega(x)dx = 1$.

Remark 4.1 Observe that we fixed here the velocity v such that $v \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This is not very restrictive because by proposition 2.2 we can construct a sequence $v_k \in L^\infty$ that converge weakly to v in *bmo*.

Problem (49) admits the following equivalent integral representation:

$$\theta(x,t) = e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}\theta_0(x) - \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla \cdot (v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(x,s)ds - \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,s)ds \tag{50}$$

For a proof of this fact see [15] or [12]. We will use then the Picard contraction scheme and for this we will consider the space $L^\infty([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with the following norm

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} = \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|f(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p}$$

Theorem 8 (Local existence) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, $2 \leq p < +\infty$ and let θ_0 and v be two functions such that $\theta_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $div(v) = 0$ and $v \in L^\infty([0,T']; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$.*

If initial data satisfies $\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} \leq K$ and if T' is a time small enough such that

$$C\left(\frac{T'^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right) \leq 1/2,$$

then (50) has a unique solution $\theta \in L^\infty([0,T']; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ on the closed ball $\overline{B}(0,2K) \subset L^\infty([0,T']; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof. We note $L_\varepsilon(\theta)$ and $N_\varepsilon^v(\theta)$ the quantities

$$L_\varepsilon(\theta)(x,t) = \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,s)ds \quad \text{and} \quad N_\varepsilon^v(\theta)(x,t) = \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla \cdot (v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(x,s)ds.$$

For the first expression we have:

Lemma 4.1 *If $f \in L^\infty([0,T']; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then*

$$\|L_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq C\frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\,\|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \tag{51}$$

Proof. We write

$$\|L_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty L^p} = \sup_{0<t<T'} \left\| \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha} f(\cdot,s)ds \right\|_{L^p} = \sup_{0<t<T'} \left\| \int_0^t \Lambda^{2\alpha} f * h_{\varepsilon(t-s)}(\cdot,s)ds \right\|_{L^p}$$

where we noted h_t the heat kernel[3] on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty L^p} &\leq \sup_{0<t<T'} \int_0^t \|f(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p} \left\|\Lambda^{2\alpha} h_{\varepsilon(t-s)}\right\|_{L^1} ds \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \sup_{0<t<T'} \int_0^t C(\varepsilon(t-s))^{-\alpha} ds \\ &\leq C\frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

■

For the term N^v_ε we have:

Lemma 4.2 *If $f \in L^\infty([0,T'];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and if $v \in L^\infty([0,T'];L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then*

$$\|N^v_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq C\sqrt{\frac{T'}{\varepsilon}} \|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \tag{52}$$

Proof. We write:

$$\begin{aligned} \|N^v_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^\infty L^p} &= \sup_{0<t<T'} \left\| \int_0^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon f)(\cdot,s)ds \right\|_{L^p} = \sup_{0<t<T'} \left\| \int_0^t \nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon f) * h_{\varepsilon(t-s)}(\cdot,s)ds \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq \sup_{0<t<T'} \int_0^t \|v_\varepsilon f(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p} \left\|\nabla h_{\varepsilon(t-s)}\right\|_{L^1} ds \\ &\leq \sup_{0<t<T'} \int_0^t \|v_\varepsilon(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty} \|f(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p} C(\varepsilon(t-s))^{-1/2} ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} \sup_{0<t<T'} \int_0^t C(\varepsilon(t-s))^{-1/2} ds \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} C\sqrt{\frac{T'}{\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Finally, since $e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}$ is a contraction operator, estimate $\|e^{\varepsilon t\Delta} f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$ is valid for all function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, for all $t > 0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\|e^{\varepsilon t\Delta} f\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{53}$$

To apply the Picard contraction scheme, let us now construct a sequence of functions in the following way

$$\theta_{n+1}(x,t) = e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}\theta_0(x) - L_\varepsilon(\theta_n)(x,t) - N^v_\varepsilon(\theta_n)(x,t)$$

and we take the $L^\infty L^p$-norm of this expression to obtain

$$\|\theta_{n+1}\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq \|e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}\theta_0\|_{L^\infty L^p} + \|L_\varepsilon(\theta_n)\|_{L^\infty L^p} + \|N^v_\varepsilon(\theta_n)\|_{L^\infty L^p}$$

Using estimates (51), (52) and (53) we have

$$\|\theta_{n+1}\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p} + C\left(\frac{T'^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right) \|\theta_n\|_{L^\infty L^p}$$

Thus, if $\|\theta_0\|_{L^p} \leq K$ and with the definition of T', we have by iteration that $\|\theta_{n+1}\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq 2K$: the sequence $(\theta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ constructed from initial data θ_0 belongs to the closed ball $\overline{B}(0,2K)$.

In order to finish this proof, let us show that $\theta_n \longrightarrow \theta$ in $L^\infty([0,T'];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. For this we write

$$\|\theta_{n+1} - \theta_n\|_{L^\infty L^p} \leq \|L_\varepsilon(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1})\|_{L^\infty L^p} + \|N^v_\varepsilon(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1})\|_{L^\infty L^p}$$

---

[3] which is nothing but a gaussian.

and using previous lemmas we have

$$\|\theta_{n+1}-\theta_n\|_{L^\infty L^p}\leq C\left(\frac{T'^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}+\frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right)\|\theta_n-\theta_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty L^p}$$

so, by iteration we obtain

$$\|\theta_{n+1}-\theta_n\|_{L^\infty L^p}\leq \left[C\left(\frac{T'^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}+\frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right)\right]^n\|\theta_1-\theta_0\|_{L^\infty L^p}$$

hence, with the definition of T' it comes $\|\theta_{n+1}-\theta_n\|_{L^\infty L^p}\leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n\|\theta_1-\theta_0\|_{L^\infty L^p}$. Finally, if $n\longrightarrow+\infty$, the sequence $(\theta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ convergences towards θ in $L^\infty([0,T'];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Since it is a Banach space we deduce unicity for the solution θ of problem (50)

■

Corollary 4.1 *The solution constructed above depends continuously on initial data* θ_0.

Proof. Let $\varphi_0\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an initial data and let φ be the associated solution. We write

$$\theta(x,t)-\varphi(x,t)=e^{\varepsilon t\Delta}(\theta_0(x)-\varphi_0(x))-L_\varepsilon(\theta-\varphi)(x,t)-N_\varepsilon^v(\theta-\varphi)(x,t)$$

Taking $L^\infty L^p$-norm in formula above and applying the same previous calculations one obtains

$$\|\theta-\varphi\|_{L^\infty L^p}\leq\|\theta_0-\varphi_0\|_{L^p}+C_0\|\theta-\varphi\|_{L^\infty L^p}\tag{54}$$

This shows continuous dependence of the solution since $C_0=\left(C\left(\frac{T'^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}+\frac{T'^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right)\right)\leq 1/2$.

■

Remark 4.2 If θ and φ are two different solutions of problem (49) with $\theta_0\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then formula (54) shows us that they actually coincide on $[0,T_1]$ with T_1 a real number such that

$$C\left(\frac{T_1^{1/2}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}+\frac{T_1^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon^\alpha}\right)<1$$

Once we obtain a local result, global existence easily follows by a simple iteration since problems studied here (equations (1), (48) or (49)) are linear as velocity v does not depend on θ.

Remark 4.3 Solutions $\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$ constructed above depends on ε and it will be more convenient to note them as $\theta^{(\varepsilon)}(\cdot,\cdot)$. For the time being, we will just note them $\theta(\cdot,\cdot)$.

We study now the regularity of solutions constructed by this method.

Theorem 9 *Solutions of approximated problem (49) are smooth.*

Proof. By iteration we will prove that

$$\theta\in\bigcap_{0<T_0<T_1<t<T_2<T^*}L^\infty([0,t];W^{\frac{k}{2},p}(\mathbb{R}^n))\qquad\text{for all } k\geq 0.$$

Remark that this is true for $k=0$. So let us assume that it is also true for $k>0$ and we will show that it is still true for $k+1$.

Set t such that $0<T_0<T_1<t<T_2<T^*$ and let us consider the next problem

$$\theta(x,t)=e^{\varepsilon(t-T_0)\Delta}\theta(x,T_0)-\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(x,s)ds-\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(x,s)ds$$

We have then the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}&\leq\|e^{\varepsilon(t-T_0)\Delta}\theta(\cdot,T_0)\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}\\&\quad+\left\|\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(\cdot,s)ds\right\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}+\left\|\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(\cdot,s)ds\right\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}\end{aligned}$$

Now, we will treat separately each of the previous terms.

(i) For the first one we have

$$\|e^{\varepsilon(t-T_0)\Delta}\theta(\cdot,T_0)\|_{W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}} = \|\theta(\cdot,T_0)*\Lambda^{k+1}h_{\varepsilon(t-T_0)}\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta(\cdot,T_0)\|_{L^p}\|\Lambda^{k+1}h_{\varepsilon(t-T_0)}\|_{L^1}$$

where h_t is the heat kernel, so we can write

$$\|e^{\varepsilon(t-T_0)\Delta}\theta(\cdot,T_0)\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}} \leq C\|\theta(\cdot,T_0)\|_{L^p}\sup\left\{[\varepsilon(t-T_0)]^{-\frac{k+1}{4}}\,;1\right\}$$

(ii) For the second term, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I = \left\|\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon\,\theta)(\cdot,s)ds\right\|_{W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}} &\leq \int_{T_0}^t \|\nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon\,\theta)*h_{\varepsilon(t-s)}\|_{W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}ds \\ &\leq C\int_{T_0}^t \|v_\varepsilon\,\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2},p}}[\varepsilon(t-s)]^{-\frac{3}{4}}ds \end{aligned}$$

Remark that we have here the estimations below for $N \geq k/2$

$$\|v_\varepsilon\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2},p}} \leq \|v_\varepsilon(\cdot,s)\|_{\mathcal{C}^N}\|\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2},p}} \leq C\varepsilon^{-N}\|v(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2},p}}$$

hence, we can write

$$I \leq C\|v\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k}{2},p}}\int_{T_0}^t \varepsilon^{-N}\sup\left\{[\varepsilon(t-s)]^{-\frac{3}{4}}\,;1\right\}ds$$

(iii) Finally, for the last term we have

$$\left\|\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(\cdot,s)ds\right\|_{W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}} \leq C\int_{T_0}^t \|\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{W^{\frac{k}{2},p}}[\varepsilon(t-s)]^{-\frac{1+4\alpha}{4}}ds$$

$$\left\|\int_{T_0}^t e^{\varepsilon(t-s)\Delta}\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta(\cdot,s)ds\right\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}} \leq C\|\theta\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k}{2},p}}\int_{T_0}^t \sup\left\{[\varepsilon(t-s)]^{-\frac{1+4\alpha}{4}}\,;1\right\}ds.$$

Now, with formulas (i)-(iii) at our disposal, we have that the norm $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty W^{\frac{k+1}{2},p}}$ is controlled for all $\varepsilon > 0$: we have proven spatial regularity.

Time regularity follows since we have

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}\theta(x,t) + \nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}(v_\varepsilon\,\theta)\right)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}\theta\right)(x,t) = \varepsilon\Delta\left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}\theta\right)(x,t).$$

■

4.2 Maximum principle and Besov regularity

As a motivation for theorem 11 below, we rewrite in the following lines the proof of the maximum principle.

Theorem 10 (Maximum Principle) *Let $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$, $2 \leq p < +\infty$ and let θ be a smooth solution of equation (49). Then we have the following estimation*

$$\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p}. \tag{55}$$

Proof. We write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} = p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Big(\varepsilon\Delta\theta - \nabla\cdot(v_\varepsilon\,\theta) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta\Big)dx = p\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Delta\theta dx + p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta dx$$

where we used the fact that $div(v) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} - p\varepsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Delta\theta dx + p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta dx = 0,$$

and integrating in time we obtain

$$\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} - p\varepsilon\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Delta\theta dxds + p\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta dxds = \|\theta_0\|_{L^p}. \tag{56}$$

To finish, we have the next lemma

Lemma 4.3 *The quantities*

$$-p\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Delta\theta dx \qquad \textit{and} \qquad p\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta|^{p-2}\theta\Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta dxds \quad \textit{are both positive.}$$

Proof. For the first expression, since $e^{\varepsilon s\Delta}$ is a contraction semi-group we have $\|e^{\varepsilon s\Delta}f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$ for all $s > 0$ and all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus $F(s) = \|e^{\varepsilon s\Delta}f\|_{L^p}$ is decreasing in s; taking the derivative in s and evaluating in $s = 0$ we obtain the desired result. For the second expression a proof can be found in [7] (the positivity lema p.516). However, we will give another proof of this fact with theorem 11 below.

■

Getting back to (56), we have that all these quantities are bounded and positive, so theorem 10 follows easily.

■

Remark 4.4 This maximum principle (55) is still valid for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. See [15] for a proof.

As said in the introduction, the study of expression (56) above lead us to a result concerning weak solution's regularity which is announced in theorem 3. More precisely we have

Theorem 11 (Besov Regularity) *Let* $2 \leq p < +\infty$ *and let* $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *be a function such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx < +\infty \qquad \textit{then} \qquad f \in \dot{B}_p^{2\alpha/p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. To begin with, assume that f is a positive function and let us show that we have the following estimates:

$$\|f\|^p_{\dot{B}_p^{2\alpha/p,p}} \leq C\|f^{p/2}\|^2_{\dot{B}_2^{\alpha,2}} \leq C'\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx \tag{57}$$

In this case, we use the

Lemma 4.4 *For* $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ *and for all* $a, b > 0$ *we have*

$$|a^\epsilon - b^\epsilon| \leq |a - b|^\epsilon.$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is straightforward, since $d_\epsilon(x, y) = |x - y|^\epsilon$ is a distance over $\mathbb{R}$ and we have

$$|d_\epsilon(a, 0) - d_\epsilon(b, 0)| \leq d_\epsilon(a, b).$$

■

Hence, applying this lemma with $\epsilon = 2/p$, $a = f(x)^{p/2}$ and $b = f(y)^{p/2}$, one has

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |f(x)^{p/2} - f(y)^{p/2}|^{2/p}$$

which implies

$$\|f\|^p_{\dot{B}_p^{2\alpha/p,p}} \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{n+2\alpha}}dxdy \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)^{p/2} - f(y)^{p/2}|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2\alpha}}dxdy \simeq \|f^{p/2}\|^2_{\dot{B}_2^{\alpha,2}}$$

and this give us the first part of (57). For the second part we have

$$\|f^{p/2}\|^2_{\dot{B}_2^{\alpha,2}} = \|f^{p/2}\|^2_{\dot{H}^\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Lambda^\alpha f^{p/2}(x)|^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{p/2}(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f^{p/2}(x)dx, \tag{58}$$

by the self-adjointness of operator Λ^α.

We consider now the semi-group $(e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}})_{\tau\geq 0}$. Since $p \geq 2$, using Jensen inequality[4] we obtain the estimate $e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}}f \leq \left(e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}}f^{p/2}\right)^{2/p}$. Thus, integrating this inequality we obtain $\|e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}}f\|^p_{L^p} \leq \|e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}}f^{p/2}\|^2_{L^2}$. Finally, taking the derivative with respect to τ and evaluating in $\tau = 0$ one obtains

$$-p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx \leq -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{p/2}(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f^{p/2}(x)dx$$

---

[4] see [13] for the details concerning the semi-group $(e^{-\tau\Lambda^{2\alpha}})_{\tau\geq 0}$.

Hence, getting back to (58) it comes $\|f^{p/2}\|^2_{\dot{B}^{\alpha,2}_2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx$ and estimates (57) are proven.

Let us now prove the general case. For this, we write $f(x) = f_+(x) - f_-(x)$ where $f_\pm(x)$ are positives functions with disjoint support. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_+(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_-(x)^{p-2}f_-(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_-(x)dx \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_-(x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_-(x)^{p-2}f_-(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_+(x)dx < +\infty\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

We only need to treat the two last integrals, and in fact we just need to study one of them since the other can be treated in a similar way. So, for the third integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_-(x)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_-(x)-f_-(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dydx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_+(x)f_-(x)-f_+(x)f_-(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dydx\end{aligned}$$

However, since f_+ and f_- have disjoint supports we obtain the following estimate:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_-(x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f_+(x)f_-(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dydx \leq 0$$

This quantity is negative as all the terms inside the integral are positive. With this observation we see that the last terms of (59) are positive and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_+(x)^{p-2}f_+(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_+(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_-(x)^{p-2}f_-(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f_-(x)dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx < +\infty$$

Then, using the first part of the proof we have $f_\pm \in \dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and since $f = f_+ - f_-$ we conclude that f belongs to the Besov space $\dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We have proven the following general estimate

$$\|f\|^p_{\dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p} \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p-2}f(x)\Lambda^{2\alpha}f(x)dx$$

■

Remark 4.5 From this inequality one easily deduces positivity of this last integral. This constitutes another proof for the positivity lemma of [7] for $2 \leq p < +\infty$. Another proof, far more general of theorem 11 is given in [13].

To obtain weak solutions of (48) with initial data in L^p, $p \geq 2$, we will now pass to the limit by taking $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$. We have obtained a family of regular functions $(\theta^{(\varepsilon)})_{\varepsilon>0} \in L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ which are solutions of (49) and satisfy the uniform bound

$$\|\theta^{(\varepsilon)}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^p}$$

Since $L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \big(L^1([0,T];L^q(\mathbb{R}^n))\big)'$, with $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, we can extract from those solutions $\theta^{(\varepsilon)}$ a subsequence $(\theta_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is $*$-weakly convergent to some function θ in the space $L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$, which implies convergence in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, this weak convergence is not sufficient to assure the convergence of $(v_\varepsilon\,\theta_k)$ to $v\,\theta$. For this we use the remarks that follows.

First, using remark 4.1 we can consider a sequence $(v_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ with v_k as in formula (12) such that $v_k \longrightarrow v$ weakly in *bmo*. Secondly, combining (55) and Theorem 11 we obtain that solutions θ_k belongs to the space $L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^1([0,T];\dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

To finish, fix a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_0([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have the fact that $\varphi\theta_k \in L^1([0,T];\dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\partial_t\varphi\theta_k \in L^1([0,T];\dot{B}^{-N,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. This implies the local inclusion, in space as well as in time, $\varphi\theta_k \in \dot{W}^{2\alpha/p,p}_{t,x} \subset \dot{W}^{2\alpha/p,2}_{t,x}$ so we can apply classical results such as the Rellich's theorem to obtain convergence of $v_k\,\theta_k$ to $v\,\theta$.

Thus, we obtain existence and unicity of weak solutions for the problem (48) with an initial data in $\theta_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $2 \leq p < +\infty$. Moreover, since such solutions satisfy inequality (55) we have that these solutions $\theta(x,t)$ belongs to the space $L^\infty([0,T];L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p([0,T];\dot{B}^{2\alpha/p,p}_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

5 Positivity principle

We prove in this section theorem 6. Recall that by hypothesis we have $0 \leq \psi_0 \leq M$ and $\psi_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. To begin with, we fix two constants, ρ, R such that $R > 2\rho > 0$. Then we set $A_{0,R}(x)$ a function equals to $M/2$ over $|x| \leq 2R$ and equals to $\psi_0(x)$ over $|x| > 2R$ and we write $B_{0,R}(x) = \psi_0(x) - A_{0,R}(x)$, so by construction we have

$$\psi_0(x) = A_{0,R}(x) + B_{0,R}(x)$$

with $\|A_{0,R}(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$ and $\|B_{0,R}(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M/2$. Remark that $A_{0,R}, B_{0,R} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now fix $v \in L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that $div(v) = 0$ and consider the equations

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \partial_t A_R(x,t) + \nabla \cdot (v\, A_R)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha} A_R(x,t) = 0 & & \partial_t B_R(x,t) + \nabla \cdot (v\, B_R)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha} B_R(x,t) = 0 \\ & and & \\ A_R(x,0) = A_{0,R}(x). & & B_R(x,0) = B_{0,R}(x). \end{array} \tag{60}$$

with $\alpha \in]0, 1/2]$.

Using the maximum principle and by construction we have the following estimates for $t \in [0,T]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} &\leq \|A_{0,R}\|_{L^p} \leq \|\psi_0\|_{L^p} + CMR^{n/p} \quad (1 < p < +\infty) \\ \|A_R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|A_{0,R}\|_{L^\infty} \leq M. \\ \|B_R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|B_{0,R}\|_{L^\infty} \leq M/2. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Lemma 5.1 *The function $\psi(x,t) = A_R(x,t) + B_R(x,t)$, where $A_R(x,t)$ and $B_R(x,t)$ are solutions of the systems (60), is the unique solution for the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi(x,t) + \nabla \cdot (v\, \psi)(x,t) + \Lambda^{2\alpha} \psi(x,t) = 0 \\ \psi(x,0) = A_{0,R}(x) + B_{0,R}(x). \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

Proof. Using hypothesis over $A_R(x,t)$ and $B_R(x,t)$ and the linearity of equation (62) we have that the function $\psi_R(x,t) = A_R(x,t) + B_R(x,t)$ is a solution for this equation. Unicity is assured by the maximum principle and by the continuous dependence from initial data given corollary 4.1, thus we can write $\psi(x,t)$.

■

To continue, we will need an auxiliary function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$ and $\phi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1/2$ and we set $\varphi(x) = \phi(x/R)$.

Now, we will estimate the L^p-norm of $\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)$ with $p > n/2\alpha$.

Remark 5.1 Although some of the following calculations are valid for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, we will need at the end the fact that $p > n/2\alpha$.

We write:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p}^p &= p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big|^{p-2} \big(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big) \\ & \quad \times \partial_t \big(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big) dx \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

We observe that we have the next identity for the last term above

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)) &= -\nabla \cdot (\varphi(x)\, v(A_R(x,t) - M/2)) - \Lambda^{2\alpha}(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)) \\ &+ (A_R(x,t) - M/2) v \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \\ &+ [\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi] A_R(x,t) - M/2 \Lambda^{2\alpha} \varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

where we noted $[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]$ the commutator between $\Lambda^{2\alpha}$ and φ. Thus, using this identity in (63) and the fact that $div(v) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p}^p &= -p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big|^{p-2}\big(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big) \\
&\quad \times \Lambda^{2\alpha}(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2))dx \qquad (64)\\
&+ \ p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big|^{p-2}\big(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big) \\
&\quad \times \big([\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(x,t) - M/2\Lambda^{2\alpha}\varphi(x)\big)\, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Remark that integral (64) is positive so one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big|^{p-2}\big(\varphi(x)(A_R(x,t) - M/2)\big) \\
&\quad \times \big([\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(x,t) - M/2\Lambda^{2\alpha}\varphi(x)\big)\, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality and integrating the previous expression we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p} &\leq \|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,0) - M/2)\|_{L^p} \\
&+ \int_0^t \big\|[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\big\|_{L^p} + \|M/2\Lambda^{2\alpha}\varphi\|_{L^p} ds \qquad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

The first term of the right side is null since over the support of φ we have identity $A_R(x,0) = M/2$. For the second term $\big\|[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\big\|_{L^p}$ we have the two following cases:

- If $\alpha = 1/2$, we have the estimate below given by Calderón's commutator (see [9]) and by the maximum principle
$$\|[\Lambda, \varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{-1}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{-1}\|A_{0,R}\|_{L^p}. \qquad (66)$$
- For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ we will need the next lemma

Lemma 5.2 *For $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ we have the estimation*

$$\big\|[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\big\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\|A_{0,R}\|_{L^p} \qquad (0 < \alpha < 1/2). \qquad (67)$$

Proof. We begin with the case $p = +\infty$ and we write

$$[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(y,s) = \int_{\{|x-y|>R\}} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}A_R(y,s)dy + \int_{\{|x-y|\leq R\}} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}A_R(y,s)dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(y,s)| &\leq \int_{\{|x-y|>R\}} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}|A_R(y,s)|dy + \int_{\{|x-y|\leq R\}} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}|A_R(y,s)|dy \\
&\leq 2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\{|x-y|>R\}} \frac{|A_R(y,s)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy + \int_{\{|x-y|\leq R\}} \frac{\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^\infty}|x-y|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}|A_R(y,s)|dy \\
&\leq 2\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\{|x-y|>R\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dy + CR^{-1}\int_{\{|x-y|\leq R\}} \frac{|A_R(y,s)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha-1}}dy \\
&\leq 2C\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty}R^{-2\alpha} + C\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty}R^{-2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the maximum principle, we can write

$$\|[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\|A_{0,R}\|_{L^\infty}.$$

For the case $p = 1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |[\Lambda^{2\alpha}, \varphi]A_R(y,s)|dy &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\{|x-y|>R\}} \frac{|A_R(y,s)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha}}dydx + R^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\{|x-y|\leq R\}} \frac{|A_R(y,s)|}{|x-y|^{n+2\alpha-1}}dydx \\
&\leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^1}R^{-2\alpha} + C\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^1}R^{-2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Using again the maximum principle we obtain

$$\|[\Lambda^{2\alpha},\varphi]A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^1} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\|A_R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^1} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\|A_{0,R}\|_{L^1}.$$

Finally, the case $1 < p < +\infty$ is given by interpolation.

■

Now, getting back to the last term of (65) we have by definition of φ the estimate $\|M/2\Lambda^{2\alpha}\varphi\|_{L^p} \leq CMR^{n/p-2\alpha}$. We thus have

$$\|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{-2\alpha}\int_0^t \left(\|A_{0,R}\|_{L^p} + MR^{n/p}\right)ds.$$

Observe that we have at our disposal estimate (61), so we can write

$$\|\varphi(\cdot)(A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2)\|_{L^p} \leq CtR^{-2\alpha}\left(\|\psi_0\|_{L^p} + MR^{n/p}\right)$$

Using again the definition of φ one has

$$\left(\int_{B(0,\rho)} |A_R(\cdot,t) - M/2|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq CtR^{-2\alpha}\left(\|\psi_0\|_{L^p} + MR^{n/p}\right).$$

Thus, if $R \longrightarrow +\infty$ and since $p > n/2\alpha$, we have $\alpha(x,t) = M/2$ over $B(0,\rho)$.

Hence, by construction we have $\psi(x,t) = A_R(x,t) + B_R(x,t)$ where ψ is a solution of $(T)_\alpha$ with initial data $\psi_0 = A_{0,R} + B_{0,R}$, but, since over $B(0,\rho)$ we have $\alpha(x,t) = M/2$ and $\|\beta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq M/2$, one finally has the desired estimate $0 \leq \psi(x,t) \leq M$.

■

6 Existence of solutions with a L^∞ initial data

The proof given before for the positivity principle allows us to obtain the existence of solutions for fractional diffusion transport equation (1) with $\alpha \in]0,1/2]$ when the initial data θ_0 belongs to the space $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, let us fix $\theta_0^R = \theta_0 \mathbb{1}_{B(0,R)}$ with $R > 0$ so we have $\theta_0^R \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. Following section 4, there is a unique solution θ^R for the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\theta^R + \nabla\cdot(v\theta^R) + \Lambda^{2\alpha}\theta^R = 0 \\ \\ \theta^R(x,0) = \theta_0^R(x) \\ \\ div(v) = 0 \quad \text{ and } v \in L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{cases}$$

such that $\theta^R \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with $p > n/2\alpha$ and by the maximum principle we have:

$$\|\theta^R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\theta_0^R\|_{L^\infty} = \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}$$

Now define $\varphi(x) = \phi(x/2R)$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$ and $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$. Then, following the same ideas used to obtain formula (63) we have

$$\|\varphi\theta^R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\varphi\theta_0^R\|_{L^p} + C\int_0^t R^{-2\alpha}\|\theta^R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p}\|\varphi\theta^R(\cdot,s)\|_{L^p}ds.$$

Now, using the definition of φ and its support properties one has

$$\|\theta^R(\cdot,t)\|_{L^p} \leq R^{n/p}\|\theta_0^R\|_{L^p} + CtR^{n/p-2\alpha}\|\theta_0\|_{L^p}^2.$$

Taking the limit $p \longrightarrow +\infty$ and making $R \longrightarrow +\infty$ we finally have

$$\|\theta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

This shows that for an initial data $\theta_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists an associated solution $\theta \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

7 Application to the 2D-quasi-geostrophic equation

We have worked so far with a velocity given by a general function $v \in L^\infty([0,T]; bmo(\mathbb{R}^n))$, let us now treat supercritical case of the 2D-quasi-geostrophic equation with $u = (-R_2\theta, R_1\theta)$; where R_j are the Riesz transforms.

Fix θ_0 an initial data belonging to $L^p \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, with $p \geq 2$. Following [15] we have the existence of a solution $\theta(\cdot,t)$ for the equation $(QG)_\alpha$ $(\alpha \in]0,1/2])$ with $\theta(\cdot,t) \in L^p \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $t \in [0,T]$.

Since Riesz transforms are bounded in L^p and since they are bounded from L^∞ into BMO, we have a uniform bound of the velocity u in terms of the *bmo* norm: we can apply theorem 1 to obtain Hölder regularity for the solution of 2D-quasi-geostrophic equation.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Pierre Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset for scientific advice and motivating work environment.

References

[1] H. Abidi & T. Hmidi. *On the global well-posedness of the critical quasi-geostrophic equation.* arXiv. http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0702215 (2007).

[2] L. Caffarelli & A. Vasseur. *Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation.* arXiv. http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0608447v1 (2006).

[3] R. Coifmann & G. Weiss. *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 83, N° 4, (1977).

[4] P. Constantin & J. Wu. *Behavior of solutions of 2D quasi-geostrophic equations.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30, 937-948 (1999).

[5] P. Constantin & J. Wu. *Regularity of Hölder continuous solutions of the supercritical quasi-geostrophic equation.* Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré. Analyse non linéaire. Vol 25, N°6, 1103-1110 (2008).

[6] P. Constantin, D. Cordoba & J. Wu. *On the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation.* arXiv. http://arxiv.org/pdf/math.AP/0103040 (2001).

[7] A. Cordoba & D. Cordoba. *A maximum principle applied to quasi-geostrophic equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. 249, 511-528 (2004).

[8] D. Goldberg. *A local version of real Hardy spaces.* Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol 46, N°1, (1979).

[9] L. Grafakos. *Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis.* Prentice Hall (2004).

[10] A. Kiselev & F. Nazarov. *A variation on a theme of Caffarelli and Vasseur.* arXiv. http://arxiv.org/pdf/0908.0923 (2009).

[11] A. Kiselev, F. Nazarov & Volberg. *Global well-posedness for the critical 2D dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation.* Invent. math. 167, 445–453 (2007).

[12] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem.* Chapman & Hall/CRC (2002).

[13] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset & D. Chamorro. *Quasi-geostrophic equation, nonlinear Bernstein inequalities and α-stable processes.* Preprint Université d'Evry (2010).

[14] F. Marchand. *Propagation of Sobolev regularity for the critical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equation.* Asymptotic Analysis, Vol. 49, N°3-4, 275-293, (2006).

[15] F. Marchand. *Existence and regularity of weak solutions to the quasi-geostrophic equations in the spaces L^p or $\dot{H}^{-1/2}$.* Commun. Math. Phys. 277, 45-67 (2008).

[16] S. Resnick. *Dynamical problems in nonlinear advective partial differential equations.* Ph.D. Thesis, University of Chicago (1995).

[17] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic Analysis.* Princeton University Press (1993).

Diego Chamorro

Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Probabilités
Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne

91025 Evry Cedex

diego.chamorro@univ-evry.fr